

Index of Lecture 3a (part)

Page	Title
1	Computing predictions in linear models
2	Stata: the <code>margins</code> command
3	Simplest examples: 1 predictor
4	Examples with 2 categorical predictors
5	Examples with 2 predictors (cont.)
6	Predictions in multivariable models
7	Predictions for VER Example 14.16

COMPUTING PREDICTIONS IN LINEAR MODELS

We distinguish between two types of predictions (or purposes):

- i)* for individual observations: “real” prediction,
- ii)* for purposely selected combinations of predictor values: “illustrative” prediction.

All software packages for linear models offer predictions of type *i*), directly for observed predictor patterns and for new predictor patterns:

- Stata/SAS: add extra observations to data with missing outcome,
- Minitab/R: specify new observations in separate columns/dataset,
- Stata/SAS: special commands (`lincom/estimate`) give estimates for linear combinations of regression coefficients.

Fully specified predictions of type *ii*): may be done using methods for type *i*) (perhaps tedious).

Some software offer both fully and partially specified predictions of type *ii*):

- Stata: the `margins` command,
- Minitab/SAS: least squares means¹; i.e., all predictors not included in prediction are set at their average value.

¹ “Least squares means” originate from experimental designed studies/data where factors are often balanced by design.

STATA: THE MARGINS COMMAND

- very flexible command (from version 12) with a wide range of options and setups; so flexible that caution is needed to not use it wrongly. . . .
- strongly recommended to always check your predictions with simpler methods (in a few examples),
- linkage to the `marginsplot` command allows easy plotting of predicted values,
- mainly intended for “illustrative” predictions, and uses predictions from the `predict` command behind the scenes to come up with the requested predictions,
- the online help is pretty confusing \Rightarrow recommended to work from well-established examples, and to avoid use of numerous extra “fancy” options.

Coverage in course: worked examples (from simple to more complex) illustrating the basic features of command:²

- 1-predictor settings (categorical and continuous),
- 2-predictor settings, and the questions arising from omitting a predictor from a prediction,
- VER 14.12 worked example,
- plots and transformations as needed.

² Presentation by Sithar Dorjee in Fall 2013 offers details and references.

SIMPLEST EXAMPLES: 1 PREDICTOR

(1a) categorical: simple means, with model-based SE,

```
regress wpc i.herd
margins herd
lincom _cons+2.herd
```

(1b) continuous: predictions at specified set of values, with subsequent plot by `marginsplot`:

```
regress wpc milk120
margins , at( milk120=(1200(1000)5600) )
marginsplot
lincom _cons+milk120*3200
```

note: flexible format of “atspec”; e.g., 1200(1000)5600 = 1200, 2200, ..., 5200, but list can also include statistics (e.g., mean and percentiles) and the special names “asobserved” and “asbalanced”,

(1c) continuous with quadratic effect: predictions as above, but need to use factor notation,

```
regress wpc c.milk120##c.milk120
margins , at( milk120=(1200(1000)5600) )
marginsplot
```

(1d) backtransformation from transformed scale: can be specified by formula, but note CI problems,³

```
regress lnwpc milk120
margins , at( milk120=(1200(1000)5600))
margins , at( milk120=(1200(1000)5600)) expression(exp(predict(xb)))
marginsplot
```

³ The correct CIs are obtained by backtransformation, but the method used by `margins` command is based on an approximate SE on original scale.

EXAMPLES WITH 2 CATEGORICAL PREDICTORS

(2a) additive model: predictions require decision about how to weight contributions from other predictor,

- * equally/balanced (standard in least squares means),
- * total data weights (default choice),
- * choices corresponding to specific prediction settings,

```
regress wpc i.rp i.vag_disch
margins rp vag_disch
table rp vag_disch, row col
lincom _cons+1.rp+1.vag_disch*82/1574 /* rp=1 */
margins rp vag_disch, asbalanced
lincom _cons+1.rp+1.vag_disch*0.5 /* rp=1 */
margins rp, over(vag_disch) /* same as: at(vag_disch=(0 1)) */
lincom _cons+1.rp+1.vag_disch /* rp=1, vag_disch=1 */
```

(2b) model with interaction: combined effect \sim simple means, separate effects require decision about how to weight contributions from other predictor (as above for additive model),

```
regress wpc rp##vag_disch
margins rp#vag_disch
marginsplot, noci
margins rp
lincom _cons+1.rp+(1.vag_disch+1.rp#1.vag_disch)*82/1574
/* rp=1 */
margins rp, asbalanced
lincom _cons+1.rp+(1.vag_disch+1.rp#1.vag_disch)*0.5 /* rp=1 */
```

EXAMPLES WITH 2 PREDICTORS (CONT.)

Categorical + continuous predictor:

(2c) similar to single continuous predictor, with multiple groups (intercepts and lines),

```
regress wpc i.dyst milk120
margins dyst, at( milk120=(1200 2200 3200 4300 5500))
marginsplot, noci
lincom _cons+1.dyst+milk120*3200 /* dyst=1, milk120=3200 */
margin dyst, atmeans
lincom _cons+1.dyst+milk120*3215.096
regress wpc dyst##c.milk120
margins dyst, at( milk120=(1200 2200 3200 4300 5500))
marginsplot, noci
lincom _cons+1.dyst+(c.milk120+1.dyst#c.milk120)*3200
/* dyst=1, milk120=3200 */
```

Two continuous predictors:

(2d) need values (possibly lists) for both predictors \Rightarrow predictions usually fully specified (no averaging/weighting),

```
regress wpc parity milk120
margins , at( parity=(1(1)6) milk120=(1200 2200 3200 4300 5500))
marginsplot, noci
margins , at( milk120=(1200 2200 3200 4300 5500) parity=(1(1)6) )
marginsplot, noci /* changing roles in plot */
margins , at( milk120=(1200 2200 3200 4300 5500) (median)parity)
marginsplot
lincom _cons+milk120*1200+parity*2 /* milk120=1200, parity=2 */
margins, atmeans
lincom _cons+milk120*3215.096+parity*2.73628 /* both at means */
```

PREDICTION IN MULTIVARIABLE MODELS

Main challenge/thing to remember: predictions need values or weights for all predictor terms in model

⇒ no software can do this automatically (so that it always makes sense)!

Some issues to consider when setting up predictions:

- the purpose (e.g., “real” versus “illustrative”),
- should the prediction correspond to an average instead of a real situation? (e.g., when using weights for categorical predictors, the predictions won’t correspond to real situations),⁴
- are the predictor distributions independent enough to set the values for different predictors independently?⁴
- is the predictor distribution in the observed data representative for the population or the targeted setting?⁴
- for categorical predictors, are predictions intended to facilitate pairwise comparisons in contrast to comparisons with baseline? (perhaps the main motivation of least squares means),
- if modelling is carried out on transformed scale, should any weighting take place on transformed or original scale? (as they will lead to different results).

⁴ Using **margins** with its default settings implies that your answer to this question is “yes”.

PREDICTIONS FOR VER EXAMPLE 14.16

Model summary:

- outcome: wpc, on square-root transformed scale,
- categorical predictors: aut_calv, twin, dyst, rp##vag_disch,
- continuous predictors: parity, herd_size with quadratic term.

Some possible prediction aims:

- 1) illustrate combined effect of diseases (rp,dyst,vag_disch) on wpc,
- 2) illustrate interaction rp#vag_disch (effectively included under 1),
- 3) illustrate effect of herd_size on wpc.

1): Prediction/Estimates for combinations of disease, with backtransformed (squared) means \sim median wpc-values:

Estimates*		$\sqrt{\text{wpc}}$ (mean)		wpc (median)	
rp	vag_d	dyst=0	dyst=1	dyst=0	dyst=1
0	0	7.517	8.059	56.50	64.95
0	1	7.503	8.046	56.30	64.73
1	0	7.906	8.448	62.51	71.38
1	1	9.384	9.926	88.06	98.53

* at: parity=1, twin=0, herd_size=251, aut_calv=0
 (~ the mean herd size, and the most frequent categories)

2): Prediction/Estimates for observed (7!) herd sizes:

Estimates*	herd sizes						
scale	125	185	201	235	263	294	333
$\sqrt{\text{wpc}}$	7.092	7.013	7.079	7.448	7.674	8.177	9.002
wpc	50.29	49.19	50.11	53.85	58.90	66.86	81.03

* at: parity=1, twin=0, aut_calv=0, all diseases=0