

Index of Lecture 10

Page	Title
1	Practical information
2	Split-plot designs
3	Split-plot example: memory trials
4	Memory example results
5	Correlations in random effects models
6	More about nesting and subsampling
7	Split-plot example: wetland weeds
8	Wetland example results
9	Final remarks on split-plot designs

PRACTICAL INFORMATION

Today's lecture:

continuation of random effects models (from Lecture 9):

- model building & checking + software (9L–13/14),
- last example: nested design (pig breeding data, 9L–10),
- split-plot or hierarchical design:
 - * a special type of design which is modelled/analysed by random effects models,
 - * arguably the most important application of random effects models.

Textbook reading:

- GO Chapter 12 (excl. Sections 6,7,9) and Chapter 16 (Sections 1-5); skip discussions involving Hasse diagrams¹ and restricted random effects,
- supplementary notes on linear mixed models, including split-plot designs; part of course curriculum.

Other news / practical information:

- 4th home assignment returned today; 5th home assignment posted,
- course exam set for April 18.

¹ Hasse diagrams is a general graphical tool to compute degrees of freedom and assess the structure of the ANOVA table for random effects models; it's not really needed for simple models but very useful for complex models.

SPLIT-PLOT DESIGNS

Consider a two-factor design with blocks or replications:

- treatments (factors) A at a levels, and B at b levels,
- ab experimental units in each of c blocks, or replicated c times (assuming a balanced design).

Randomized (block) design:

- $N = abc$ units allocated randomly to treatments ($A \times B$),
- all treatments estimated with same precision.

Split-plot design:

- A varies between (is applied to) larger units than B
(for convenience or of necessity),
- conceptual two-step design construction:
 - i) A is allocated randomly to large units (“whole plots”),
 - ii) units for A are split into sub-units (“split plots”²),
onto which B is allocated randomly,
- A = “whole-plot factor” and B = “split-plot factor”,
- implication: A estimated with less precision than B and the interaction $A*B$ (not intuitively obvious!).

Analysis of split-plot design:

- include random effects for A-units (whole plots) in model!

² GO uses the term “split plots”, other authors use sub plots.

SPLIT-PLOT EXAMPLE: MEMORY TRIALS

Anxiety, tension, and memory data (GO Example 16.5):

- memory errors recorded in each of 4 memory trials taken (in random order \sim cross-over design) by 12 subjects allocated to one of four groups defined by different levels of anxiety (low/high) and muscular tension (low/high),

Subject	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Anxiety	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
Tension	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2
Type 1	18	19	14	16	12	18	16	18	16	19	16	16
Type 2	14	12	10	12	8	10	10	8	12	16	14	12
Type 3	12	8	6	10	6	5	8	4	6	10	10	8
Type 4	6	4	2	4	2	1	4	1	2	8	9	8

notation: $y_{ijkl} = \#$ memory errors for trial of type k for subject l in anxiety group i and tension group j ,

- * whole plots = subjects (within anxiety \times tension group),
 - * whole-plot factors: (A) = anxiety, (B) = (tension),
 - * split plots = trials (for each subject \times type),
 - * split-plot factor (C) = trial type,
- split-plot model with replication (instead of blocks):

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \gamma_k + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} + (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk} + D_{ijl} + \varepsilon_{ijkl},$$

- * $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_{ijkl}) = \sigma^2$ (variation between split plots),
- * $\text{Var}(D_{ijl}) = \sigma_D^2$ (extra variation between whole plots),
- * $D_{ijl} \sim$ whole-plot random effect for subject (i, j, l) .

MEMORY EXAMPLE RESULTS

ANOVA table:

Source	DF	SS	MS	EMS	F	P
Anxiety	1	10.08	10.1	$\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_D^2 + \sigma_\alpha^2$	$MS_A/MS_D = 0.98$	0.35
Tension	1	8.33	8.3	$\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_D^2 + \sigma_\beta^2$	$MS_B/MS_D = 0.81$	0.40
A * Tn	1	80.08	80.1	$\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_D^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$	$MS_{AB}/MS_D = 7.77$	0.024
Type	3	991.50	330.5	$\sigma^2 + \sigma_\gamma^2$	$MS_C/MS_E = 152$	<.001
A * Tp	3	8.42	2.8	$\sigma^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^2$	$MS_{AC}/MS_E = 1.29$	0.30
Tn * Tp	3	12.17	4.1	$\sigma^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^2$	$MS_{BC}/MS_E = 1.87$	0.16
A*Tn*Tp	3	12.75	4.3	$\sigma^2 + \sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2$	$MS_{ABC}/MS_E = 1.96$	0.15
Whole plot	8	82.50	10.3	$\sigma^2 + 4\sigma_D^2$	$MS_D/MS_E = 4.74$	<.001
Split plot	24	52.17	2.2	σ^2		
Total	47	1258.0				

- clearly significant effect of type (and no interactions),
- weakly significant interaction between anxiety and tension \Rightarrow interpretation in interaction plot,
- variance components:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = MS_E = 2.174, \quad \hat{\sigma}_D^2 = (MS_D - MS_E)/4 = 2.035,$$

Standard errors of means, and pairwise comparisons:

- whole-plot factors (+ interaction): usual formulae, using MS_D instead of MS_E (\sim analysis of whole-plot means),
- split-plot factor (type): pairwise comparisons using MS_E ,
- interactions with split-plot factor: within whole-plot factor pairwise comparisons by usual formulae using MS_E ,
– otherwise by approximation method (details in notes).

CORRELATIONS IN RANDOM EFFECTS MODELS

Fact: random effects introduce (positive) *correlations* between the observations,

- observations are no longer independent, or
 - (same meaning) observations are clustered,
- observations *at the same level of a random factor* are correlated, whereas observations at different levels of all random factors are still independent,
- rule to compute intra-class correlations (ICCs):
 - * compute the total variance, $\text{Var}(\mathbf{y})$, of an (any) observation – as the sum of all variance components,
 - * for the two observations, \mathbf{y}_1 and \mathbf{y}_2 , in question, compute the covariance between them, $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)$ – as the sum of all variance components which \mathbf{y}_1 and \mathbf{y}_2 *have in common*,
 - * the correlation (ρ) between \mathbf{y}_1 and \mathbf{y}_2 , $\text{Corr}(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)$, is the ratio of these:

$$\rho \text{ (or ICC)} = \text{Corr}(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) / \text{Var}(\mathbf{y}).$$

Example: split-plot model (with σ_{AC}^2 and σ^2 as whole-plot and split-plot variances, respectively),

$$\text{Corr}(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{AC}^2 / (\sigma_{AC}^2 + \sigma^2), & \text{(same whole plot),} \\ 0, & \text{(different whole plots),} \end{cases}$$

MORE ABOUT NESTING AND SUBSAMPLING

Recall crossed vs. nested factors A and B:

- A and B are *crossed*, if every level of A and B have the same meaning across the entire experiment,
- A is *nested* within B, if levels of A are specific to levels of B and don't generalize across levels of B.

Subsampling: (also “pseudo-replication”)

- multiple measures taken on the same experimental unit,
- often “identical” subsamples, e.g. duplicates,
- split-plot designs: split (sub) plots \sim subsampling of whole plots and allocation of split-factor treatments,
- rarely realistic to assume independence between subsamples; two possible approaches/solutions:
 - * average observations to level of experimental units,
 - * include random effects \sim units subsampled.³

Steps to build an ANOVA model (GO Section 12.3):

1. Determine the sources of variation (HS: or the units),
2. Decide which factors cross and which nest,
3. Decide which factors are fixed and which are random,
4. Decide which interactions should be in the model.

³ Keeping the original observations but adding suitable random effects, is the equivalent approach to split-plot modelling.

SPLIT-PLOT EXAMPLE: WETLAND WEEDS

Weed biomass in wetlands (GO Example 16.7):

- percent of nonweed biomass after 8 weeks of growth of seeds in clipping-treated halves of weed-treated wetlands placed in 8 trays, onto which nitrogen treatments were applied, positioned on 2 separate tables (in greenhouse),

Table	N	Weed = 1		Weed = 2		Weed = 3	
		C = 1	C = 2	C = 1	C = 2	C = 1	C = 2
1	1	87.2	88.8	70.4	75.7	75.0	80.6
	2	80.5	83.8	59.2	61.5	59.5	62.5
	3	76.8	80.8	47.8	49.5	48.4	52.9
	4	77.7	81.5	35.7	37.3	38.3	42.4
2	1	78.2	80.5	65.1	68.3	65.3	66.6
	2	79.8	85.2	57.6	61.4	58.5	61.6
	3	82.4	83.1	50.5	54.0	51.6	54.7
	4	75.7	78.7	39.0	43.9	41.9	45.1

C=clipping tx

- a split-split-plot design with blocks:
 - * whole plots = trays (8),
 - * whole-plot factor = N levels (4),
 - * split plots = wetlands (24, three in each tray),
 - * split-plot factor = weed treatment (3),
 - * split-split plots = wetland halves (48),
 - * split-split-plot factor = clipping treatment (2),
 - * blocks = tables (2),
- notation: y_{ijkl} = biomass percentage at clipping tx k , weed tx j and nitrogen tx i in block l .

WETLAND EXAMPLE RESULTS

Model:

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} \\ + (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk} + \delta_l + (AD)_{il} + (ABD)_{ijl} + \varepsilon_{ijkl},$$

with the whole-plot errors $AD_{il} \sim N(0, \sigma_{AD}^2)$, the split-plot errors $ABD_{ijl} \sim N(0, \sigma_{ABD}^2)$, and the split-split-plot errors $\varepsilon_{ijkl} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and all variables independent.

Analysis:

- exact model specification difficult for ANOVA-based estimation, but possible for likelihood-based estimation (both Minitab⁴ and Stata),
- variance components (from REML-estimation):
 $\hat{\sigma}_{AD}^2 = 14.45$, $\hat{\sigma}_{ABD}^2 = 2.62$, $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 1.07$,
- residuals: split-split-plot level ok, split-plot and whole-plot levels from analysis of respective means also ok,
- interaction n*weed clearly significant \Rightarrow interaction plot: less biomass (i.e., more weeds) with increasing N, especially when weeds have been seeded,⁵
- strong main effect of clipping: slight increase of biomass,
- presentation of results: separate for **n*weed** interaction and clipping main effect (because additive), by graphs or (least squares) means with standard errors.

⁴ Minitab model specification in **Mixed Effects Model** menu: random **tray** effect and **tray*weed** interaction.

⁵ The interaction may be explored in the split-plot model for wetland means.

FINAL REMARKS ON SPLIT-PLOT DESIGNS

Split-plot vs. hierarchical data structure:
much the same thing. . . :

- split plots constitute a level below the whole plots:
 whole plot similarly to herd
 └─ split plot └─ animal
- in a hierarchical structure, upper level units \sim whole plots and lower level units \sim split plots,
- explanatory variables may vary at upper or lower levels (possibly not randomised as in a split-plot design).

Two types of split-plot designs:

- with blocks (wetland weeds example),
- with replicates instead of blocks (memory example).

How to identify a “split-plot” structure?:

- *measurement* units are smaller than *experimental* units (for some treatment factors),
- expl. variables vary between “units” of different size,
- GO: follow the randomization!,
- think about whether subsampling was done,
- ask yourself: how many exper. units for this factor?
- draw a sketch of the experimental layout!